



Constraint Analysis of Adopters and Non Adopters of Drones in Agriculture in the Warangal District of Telangana in India

Chinthakindi Sreedeepeya ^{a*}, D. Kumara Swamy ^{b++},
T. Lavanya ^{c#} and D. Srinivasa Chary ^{d†}

^a Department of Agricultural Economics, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, India.

^b Department of Agricultural Economics, PJTSAU, Sircilla, India.

^c Department of Agricultural Economics, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, India.

^d Department of Statistics and Mathematics, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6806>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121190>

Original Research Article

Received: 28/05/2024

Accepted: 02/08/2024

Published: 05/08/2024

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted with an objective to analyse constraints faced by farmers who are using drones, constraints faced by various stakeholders and constraints faced by farmers who are not using drones in agriculture in Warangal district of Telangana, India. Various problems faced

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

[#] Professor;

[†] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: Email: sreedeepeyachinthakindi@gmail.com;

Cite as: Sreedeepeya, Chinthakindi, D. Kumara swamy, T. Lavanya, and D. Srinivasa Chary. 2024. "Constraint Analysis of Adopters and Non Adopters of Drones in Agriculture in the Warangal District of Telangana in India". *Archives of Current Research International* 24 (6):483-87. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6806>.

by farmers are chosen and Garette's ranking technique is used to know the major constraints. The major constraints faced by the farmers who are using drones in agriculture are shortage of drone suppliers with a score of 67.95, non uniform coverage with a score of 61.75. the major constraints faced by farmers who are not using drones in agriculture are high labour cost with a score of 73.12, time consuming with a score of 67.55 and the major constraints faced by the stakeholders are high initial investment with a score of 78.8, battery life with a score of 59.2. The suggestions were provided for the major constraints involved in both adopters and non adopters of drones in agriculture.

Keywords: Drones; garette's ranking technique; farmers; stakeholders.

1. INTRODUCTION

Farming, often referred to as agriculture, is the practice of cultivating soil, growing crops, and raising animals for food, fibre, and other products essential to human life. Primitive farming refers to the early methods of agriculture practiced by ancient civilizations and human societies whereas modern farming, also known as industrial agriculture, emerged with the advent of advanced technology and scientific knowledge.

Technology use in agriculture, often referred to as agricultural technology or agritech, has revolutionized the way food is produced, processed, and distributed globally. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) are two rapidly advancing technologies that are revolutionizing agriculture, enhancing productivity, sustainability, and efficiency across the industry. Drones are also a part of it. They can help farmers optimize their farming practices and increase crop yields. Drones have revolutionized agriculture by improving precision, efficiency, and promoting farming practices [1]. They provide farmers with real-time data, enabling them to optimize operations, reduce costs, and improve crop yields. These tools help meet global food demand while minimizing environmental impact, making drones an invaluable tool in modern agriculture [2,3,4].

India's agricultural sector, comprising approximately 18% of India's Gross Value Added (GVA) in fiscal year 2024, serves as the foundation for the nation's economy. Drones have been considered to be part of the Industry 4.0 ecosystem in India, and are expected to boost the country's GDP by 1-1.5% and add over 5 lakh jobs in the coming years. The Indian government has been actively fostering the manufacturing of drones and drone components through a range of policies and initiatives, including the Production-linked Incentive (PLI) program. This strategic endeavour aims to

transform India into a world wide hub for drones by the year 2030. According to the industry estimates, currently over 3,000 drones are being utilised in the Indian agriculture sector, which is expected to rise over 7,000 by 2025. This would further support crop productivity through optimum use of water, soil nutrients and crop protection formulations. The drone manufacturing industry in India is projected to grow from Rs 60 crore in 2020-21 to Rs 900 crore by 2024-25 [5]. The Telangana government collaborates with private companies and research institutions to develop drone applications in various sectors like agriculture, healthcare, and urban planning. Telangana is working on creating a conducive regulatory environment for drone operations, balancing innovation with safety and privacy concerns. The Government will establish a Telangana Drone City (TDC) that would become India's leading Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) test and business center for agriculture [6]. Various problems are discussed in this paper regarding drone use and how farmers can overcome the problems so as to increase their yield and eventually increase the income.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Telangana, from Warangal district, two mandals, Hasanparthy and Elkaturthy, were intentionally chosen. In Hasanparthy, villages Ganturpalli and Sitampet were selected, and in Elkaturthy, villages Thimmapur and Suraram were chosen. Therefore, the study comprised a total sample population of 80 individuals: 40 adopters and 40 non-adopters growing paddy and cotton and hence, an objective to analyse constraints faced by farmers who are using drones, constraints faced by various stakeholders and constraints faced by farmers who are not using drones in agriculture in Warangal district of Telangana, India were selected for the study.

Garrett's ranking technique is employed for ranking the problems of stakeholders on different variables. This method helps to identify the most significant variable influencing the respondent [7]. By this method the respondents are asked to rank their preference for all factors. The resultant outcomes of such rankings are converted to per cent position using the formula:

$$\text{Percent position} = \frac{100(R_{ij} - 0.5)}{N_j}$$

Where,

- R_{ij}= Rank given for the ith variable by jth respondents
- N_j= Number of variables ranked by jth respondents

From the Garrett's Table, the percentage position calculated is converted into scores. Then for each factor, the scores of each individual are added and then total value of scores and mean values of scores are calculated. The factors

having highest mean value is considered to be the most important factor.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From this section, the problems faced by farmers using drones, problems faced by farmers who are not using drones and problems faced by the drone suppliers were highlighted and various suggestions given by them are also enlisted. Problems related to adopters and non adopters and the suggestions to address these problems are presented below.

Drones were used for various agronomical practices like sowing, transplanting, spraying various plant protection chemicals which is helping farmers to reduce labour costs and spraying costs in the field. But various constraints faced by farmers who are using drones include shortage of drone suppliers with a mean score of 67.95, non uniform coverage with a mean score of 61.75, small size of land holdings with a mean score of 59.65, noise made by the drone with a mean score of 53.40 and high cost with a mean score of 53.30.

Table 1. Garette's ranking for the various constraints faced by farmers who are using drones

S.No.	Problem	Mean scores	Rank
1.	Shortage of drone suppliers	67.95	I
2.	Non uniform coverage	61.75	II
3.	Small size of land holding	59.65	III
4.	Noise made by the drone	53.40	IV
5.	High cost	53.30	V
6.	Degree of skill	51.47	VI
7.	Risk to human and animals on ground	48.72	VII
8.	Short flying time	48.55	VIII
9.	Crop damage due to weather	46.50	IX
10.	Small size of the tank	39.70	X
11.	Obstacles like trees, hills and birds	33.65	XI
12.	Lack of SOP's and guidelines	31.35	XII

Table 2. Garette's ranking for the various constraints faced by farmers who are not using drones

S.No.	Problem	Mean scores	Rank
1.	High labour cost	73.12	I
2.	Time consuming	67.55	II
3.	Non uniform coverage	51.95	III
4.	Risk of human health	50.65	IV
5.	High usage of resources	47.60	V
6.	Lack of awareness about drones in agriculture	47.10	VI
7.	Unsupportive weather conditions	37.62	VII
8.	Noise made by the drone	37.07	VIII
9.	Degree of skill	33.32	IX

Table 3. Garette’s ranking for the various constraints faced by various stakeholders/ drone suppliers

S.No.	Problem	Mean scores	Rank
1.	High initial investment	78.80	I
2.	Battery life	59.20	II
3.	Skill requirement	50.60	III
4.	Government regulations	50.20	IV
5.	Signal interference	47.80	V
6.	Data management	45.40	VI
7.	High maintainance costs	43.60	VII
8.	Weather conditions	41.20	VIII
9.	Security risks	40.20	IX
10.	Accidental crop damage	39.60	X

Other traditional methods are used by the farmers to undergo various agronomical practices and there is a large requirement of labour for sowing, transplanting and is a time consuming process for spraying plant protection chemicals, and hence major constraints faced by the farmers who are not using drones in agriculture include high labour cost with a mean score of 73.12, time consuming with a mean score of 67.55, non uniform coverage with a mean score of 51.95, risk of human health with a mean score of 50.65 and high usage of resources with a mean score of 47.60.

Drones are leased out and a professional is hired for various agronomical practices like sowing, spraying of plant protection chemicals. As drones are an emerging technology in agriculture, drone suppliers also are facing various challenges like high initial investment with a mean score of 78.80, battery life with a mean score of 59.20, skill requirement with a mean score of 50.60, government regulations with a mean score of 50.20 and signal interference with a mean score of 47.80.

In the study area, farmers who are adopting drones in agriculture are facing a major problem of shortage of drone suppliers and this could be achieved by collaborating with academic institutions and research organizations to innovate and improve drone designs and by supporting startups and new companies entering the drone manufacturing industry through grants, loans, and incubation programs. Farmers who are not adopting drones in agriculture are facing a major problem of high labour costs which could be achieved by seeking government programs that offer financial assistance or subsidies for purchasing agricultural machinery and technology and forming agricultural cooperatives

to share resources, including labor and machinery, among multiple farmers [8-10].

4. CONCLUSION

The present study provides significant insights into the farmer’s and drone supplier’s perspective of constraints and suggestions to overcome their constraints. The analysis is based on the primary data collected from the paddy and cotton growing farmers in the study area. The analysis identified that shortage of drone suppliers, non uniform coverage are the major constraints face by the farmers using drones in agriculture and high initial investment and battery life are the major constraints faced by the drone suppliers. The above provided suggestions could help farmer to overcome the constraints. Government and policy makers should concentrate on those areas to make the farmers well aware about the emerging technologies in agriculture.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very thankful to my guides for their help and guidance during this research. Their support and advice made this work possible.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kamble BT, Navadkar DS, Yadav DB. Impact assessment of adopted cotton production technology and constraints in Marathwada region of Maharashtra state. Gujarat Journal of Extension Education. 2017;39-47.
2. Raahalya P, Ashok KR, Vidhyavathi A, Devi MN, Patil SG. Impact of PMKISAN Scheme on Production and Efficiency of Paddy Cultivation in Andhra Pradesh, India. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2022; 40(11):94-100.
3. Zuo A, Wheeler SA, Sun H. Flying over the farm: Understanding drone adoption by Australian irrigators. Precision agriculture. 2021;22(6):1973-91.
4. Ayamga M, Tekinerdogan B, Kassahun A, Rambaldi G. Developing a policy framework for adoption and management of drones for agriculture in Africa. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management. 2021;33(8):970-87.
5. Saidhar R, Uma Devi K, Vishnu Sankar Rao D, Srinivasa Rao V. Constraint analysis of small farmers in agriculture in Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh. The Andhra Agriculture Journal. 2016;63(4): 962-967.
6. Nirmala B, Suhasini K. Farmer's experience with hybrid rice technology: A case study of Khunti district of Jharkhand State of India. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(29):3973-3975.
7. Devi KS, Ponnarasi T. An economic analysis of modern rice production technology and its adoption behaviour in Tamil Nadu. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2009;22:341-348.
8. Chavan R, Patil SS, Hiremath GM, Reddy BS. Constraints opined by paddy cultivation farmers in application of recommended doses of fertilizers in north eastern Karnataka region. Progressive Agriculture. 2019;19(1):14-17.
9. Tuyen MC, Sirisupluxana P, Bunyasiri I, Hung PX. Stakeholders' preferences towards contract attributes: evidence from rice production in Vietnam. Sustainability. 2022;14(6): 3478-3485.
10. Lazarus TP, Vijayan A, Durga AR, Aparna B, Joseph B, Stephen R. Constraints in paddy cultivation faced by the farmers in upper Kuttanad: A study in Alappuzha district of Kerala. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020; 9(4):370-373.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121190>