Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics

Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics

7(4): 31-37, 2022; Article no.ARJGO.89862

Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes of Placenta Praevia at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt

Felix Chikaike Clement Wekere ^{a,b*}, Gift Anyanwu F. Clement-Wekere ^c, Uduak Solomon Ocheche ^d, lyingiala Austin-Asomeji ^b, Sotonye Asikimabo-Ofori ^a and Idawarifa Frank Cookey-Gam ^b

 ^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.
^b Department of Community Medicine, College of Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
^c Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Rivers State, Nigeria.
^d Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, PAMO University of Medical Sciences, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author FCCW designed the study, wrote the protocol, took part in data collection, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author GAFCW managed literature searches, and took part in data entry and analyses of the study. Authors USO, IAA, SAO and IFCG took part in the collection of data and literature search. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89862</u>

> Received 12 June 2022 Accepted 01 August 2022 Published 04 August 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Background: Placenta praevia is associated with high foeto-maternal morbidity and mortality. Aim: To assess the maternal and perinatal outcomes of placenta praevia at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH).

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study of all recorded cases of placenta praevia manage at RSUTH from 1st January 2016 to 31st December 2021. Data were analysed using IBM, Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) version 25.0 Armonk, NY.

Results: The most common maternal complication was blood transfusion [128(93.4%)], followed by preterm delivery [49(35.8%)], and postpartum haemorrhage [34(24.8%)]. Three (2.2%) of the participants had Caesarean hysterectomy. There was no case of maternal mortality. There was a male sex preponderance among the foetuses. The mean± SD foetal weight was 2.9±0.65, 95%CI: 2.79,3.01. Seventy-three (53%) of the foetuses were males. The majority 51(37.2%) of the foetuses were admitted into the special care baby unit (SCBU) for special care. Other observed perinatal complications were prematurity, low birth weight, birth asphyxia and stillbirth accounting for 35.8%, 22.6%, 14.6% and 12.4% of cases respectively.

Conclusion: The commonest maternal and perinatal complications of placenta praevia at the RSUTH were blood transfusion and admission into SCBU respectively. Prompt diagnosis, efficient blood transfusion services and adequate management will improve foeto-maternal outcomes.

Keywords: Placenta praevia; foeto-maternal outcomes; perinatal; haemorrhage; RSU.

1. INTRODUCTION

Placenta praevia is one of the leading causes of antepartum haemorrhage (APH) and with lifefoetal-maternal and threatening perinatal outcomes [1-3]. Placenta praevia refers to the partial or total implantation of the placenta in the lower uterine segment [4]. Different types of placentae praevia have been described in the literature (Types 1-4) [4]. In Type 1 (also known as marginal placenta praevia), the placenta encroaches on the lower uterine segment but does not get to the internal os; in type 2 (lateral placenta praevia), the placenta reaches the internal cervical os but does not cover it. Type 2 is further subclassified into 2a (anterior) and 2b (posterior). In type 3- the placenta covers the internal cervical os but not on full cervical dilatation; in type 4- the placenta is symmetrically implanted on the internal os even at full cervical dilatation. Types 3 and 4 are also known as central placenta praevia. Placenta praevia can also be classified into minor degrees (types 1 and 2a) and major degrees (types 2b,3 and 4) [4,5]. Patients often present with sudden unprovoked painless vaginal bleedina or previous vaginal bleeds (the first referred to as a warning bleed) in the third trimester [4]. The amount of haemorrhage may range from light to heavy [6]. Although the bleeding is painless, some women with placenta praevia may have pain with bleeding if they are in labour [4,6].

A previous study on APH in RSUTH revealed that placenta previa was the most common cause of antepartum haemorrhage and was significantly associated with the history of previous caesarean section [7]. Study on placenta praevia is scarce in our setting. Also, maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnancies complicated by placenta praevia have not been studied in RSUTH. Thus, this study focuses on assessing the maternal and perinatal outcomes of placenta praevia at the RSUTH.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH), Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. RSUTH is one of the tertiary health facilities in Rivers State and is located at the heart of Port Harcourt the capital of Rivers State. The Hospital receives referrals from within and neighbouring states [8]. The Hospital has on average 1500 deliveries annually and a caesarean section rate of 41.4% [9].

This was a cross-sectional study of all recorded cases of placenta praevia managed at the RSUTH, from 1st January 2016 to 31st December 2021. All cases of placenta praevia were collated from the labour ward, post-natal and theatre records. The total number of deliveries during the review period was obtained from the labour ward and theatre records/registers. A study proforma was designed and used for the collection of data on sociodemographic/obstetric factors, risk factors, type of placenta previa, nature of the surgery, foeto-maternal and perinatal outcomes. Placenta praevia was defined as a placenta that is partially or wholly implanted in the lower uterine segment after the period of foetal viability (which in our environment is 28 weeks). Diagnosis of placenta praevia was made both clinically and radiologically.

Data collected were entered into Microsoft word Excel office 2019 and transferred to IBM, Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) previously known as Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0, Armonk, NY, for analysis. Categorical variables were summarized in frequencies and percentages while continuous variables were summarized using mean and standard deviations with 95% confidence intervals around the point estimates.

3. RESULTS

Over the period of review, there were fourteen thousand, one hundred and ninety -five (14,195) deliveries, and 137 cases of placenta praevia.

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic/ obstetric characteristics of the study participants. The mean \pm SD age and gestational age of the participants at delivery was 32.50 \pm 4.94 years (95%CI 31.67, 33.34) and 36.72 \pm 2.25 weeks (95% CI 36.34,37.10) respectively.The modal age group was 35-39 years (Table 1). Majority 47.4% (n=65) were multiparas, 84.7% (n=116)



Fig. 1. The pattern of occurrence of placenta praevia at the RSUTH Source of data: Wekere et al., [10]

Variables	Number n=137	Percentage
Age (Years)		
20-24	10	7.3
25-29	32	23.4
30-34	38	27.7
35-39	49	35.8
40-44	8	5.8
Mean age 32.50	[#] SD 4.94	⁺ 95%CI: 31.67, 33.34
Mean *GA 36.72	SD2.25	95%CI: 36.34,37.10
Parity		
0(Nullipara)	25	18.2
1(Primipara)	44	32.1
2-4(Multipara)	65	47.4
≥5(Grand multipara)	3	1.2
Educational Status		
Primary	34	24.8
Secondary	47	34.3
Tertiary	56	40.9
Religion		
Christianity	130	94.9
Islam	7	5.1
Type of surgery		
Emergency	68	49.6
Elective	69	50.4
Booking Status		
Booked	116	84.7
Unbooked	21	15.3

Table 1. Sociodemographic / Obstetric factors of study participants

Source: Wekere et al., [10] *Gestational age, # Standard deviation + 95% Confidence Interval

Complications	Number (n=137)	Percentage			
Postpartum haemorrhage (PPH)					
Yes	34	24.8			
No	103	75.2			
Preterm delivery					
Yes	49	35.8			
No	88	64.2			
Blood transfusion					
Yes	128	93.4			
No	9	6.6			
Caesarean hysterectomy					
Yes	3	2.2			
No	134	97.8			

Table 2. Maternal complications/outcomes

were booked, 94.9% (n=130) Christians and had tertiary education 40.9% (n=56).

The majority 128 (93.4%) of the parturient had a blood transfusion (Table 2). The most common complication was blood transfusion 93.4%, followed by preterm delivery 35.8%, and postpartum haemorrhage (24.8%). More than half of the foetuses (53.9%) were males (Fig. 2).

The majority 51(37.2%) were admitted into the special care baby unit (SCBU) for special care. Other observed foetal complications were prematurity, low birth weight, birth asphyxia and stillbirth accounting for 35.8%, 22.6%, 14.6% and 12.4% of cases respectively (Table 3). Although

the majority 100 (73%) of the foetus had normal birth weight, 31 (22.6%) and 1(0.7%) had low birth weight and extremely low birth weight respectively (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

There were one hundred and thirty- seven cases of placenta praevia and 14,195 deliveries recorded over the review period. The sociodemographic / obstetric features and prevalence of placenta praevia in RSUTH have been reported [10]. In the present study, we assessed the maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnancies complicated by placenta praevia in RSUTH over six years.



Fig. 2. Foetal sex distribution

Table 3.	Foetal	outcomes	/comi	olications
1 4010 01		0410011100	vv	Shoutono

Variable	Number (n=168) *	Percentage
Prematurity	49	29.2
Still birth	17	10.1
Birth asphyxia	20	11.9
Admission into SCBU#	51	30.4
Low birth weight (1.5-2.4kg)	31	18.4

*Multiple complications #Special Care Baby Unit

Variable	Number (n=137)	Percentage
Extreme low birth weight (<1kg)	1	0.7
Very low birth weight (1- 1.4kg)	0	0
Low birth weight (1.5-2.4kg)	31	22.6
Normal birth weight (2.5-4kg)	100	73.0
Macrosomia (>4kg)	5	3.7

Table 4. Categories of birth weights of the foetus of mothers with placenta praevia

The adverse maternal outcomes observed were the need for blood transfusion, postpartum haemorrhage, and preterm delivery. The need for blood transfusion was the commonest maternal complication accounting for 93.4%. This finding is similar to those of previous studies [7.11] but higher than 65% [12] reported by Anand et al., in India and 61.6% [13] reported by Olugbenga et al in Nigeria. Overall, there is a high requirement for blood transfusion in cases of placenta praevia. Additionally, massive blood loss from placenta praevia antepartum intrapartum often or necessitates immediate replacement for the improved foeto-maternal outcome. Although blood replacement should be based on estimated blood loss, vital signs and the clinical scenario, [14] a minimum of 4 units of blood is usually recommended to be made available when a woman presents with antepartum haemorrhage secondary to placenta praevia [4,14]. Repeated bleeding results in anaemia in women with placenta praevia. As such, pregnancies complicated by placenta praevia are better managed in centres with effective and efficient blood bank /transfusion services in addition to other specialist care. The availability of effective blood bank and transfusion services in our centre was helpful in the management of recorded cases of placenta praevia throughout the review.

Preterm delivery (delivery before 37 completed was the second most common weeks) complication or adverse outcome observed in the study. This occurred in 36% of cases of placenta praevia, following antepartum haemorrhage that necessitated immediate delivery to save the lives of the mother and foetus(es). This finding is in keeping with the findings of previous studies [4,15-17]. It is not uncommon to find cases of preterm deliveries among pregnancies complicated by placenta praevia since conservative management is terminated irrespective of the gestational age when the patient goes into labour or presents with profuse bleeding. A study conducted in Australia revealed that pregnancy complicated by placenta praevia was associated with over 50% of preterm births [16].

Although 34 (24.8%) of the parturient had postpartum haemorrhage, the majority did not. An increased number of booked patients in the study population could have accounted for this finding as they had their pregnancies supervised by specialists and their delivery planned [elective (repeat) caesarean section]. As such, they were optimized for the surgery and measures put in place to prevent postpartum haemorrhage in the parturient.

Three (2.2%) of the parturient had a caesarean hysterectomy due to massive blood loss from the adherent placenta, morbidly in particular percreta. This finding is similar to that of Anand et al., in India [12] and lower than 8.8% reported by Trivedi et al., in Ranchi [18]. In the present study, those that had caesarean hysterectomy were unbooked cases that were referred from health facilities for emergency peripheral caesarean section. However, there was no case of maternal death from placenta previa over the review period unlike the findings of previous studies [11,19,20]

Male sex preponderance was observed in this study, such that more than half of the foetuses were males. This finding is consistent with the findings of previous studies [21-26] but contrary to the findings of Parazzini et al., [27] and Tuzovic et al. [17]. Although evidence from different analyses identified an association of male sex with placenta praevia, the pathogenesis is yet to be fully known. The mean ± SD foetal birth weight was 2.9 ±0.65. This value is within the normal birth weight range in our setting. The most common foetal adverse outcome was admission into the special care baby unit or neonatal intensive care unit, followed by low birth weight, birth asphyxia and stillbirth. This is consistent with the findings of previous studies [2,15,28-30]. The stillbirth rate was 12.4%. Our finding is higher than the stillbirth rate of 4.5% reported in a previous study conducted in India [12]. The number of unbooked cases referred to our centre for management and the duration of review in the present study could have accounted for the observed stillbirth rate.

5. CONCLUSION

The commonest maternal and foetal complications of placenta praevia at the RSUTH were blood transfusion and admission into SCBU respectively. There was male а sex preponderance among foetuses of parturient that presented with placenta praevia. These findings will be helpful to clinicians in the management of cases as prompt diagnosis, efficient blood transfusion services and adequate management will improve maternal and perinatal outcomes.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the Hospital.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors appreciate the staff of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, RSUTH for their support during the data collection.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jauniaux E, Grønbeck L, Bunce C, Langhoff-Roos J, Collins SL. Epidemiology of placenta previa accreta: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2019;9(11):e031193.
- Balachandar K, Melov SJ, Nayyar R: The risk of adverse maternal outcomes in cases of placenta praevia in an Australian population between 2007 and 2017. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2020;60(6):890-895.
- Fan D, Wu S, Liu L, Xia Q, Wang W, Guo X, Liu Z. Prevalence of antepartum hemorrhage in women with placenta previa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports. 2017;7(1):1-9.
- 4. Okafor II: Antepartum Haemorrhage. In: Our Teachers- A Comprehensive Textbook of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. First edn.

Edited by Umeora OUJ, Egbuji C.C, Onyebuchi A.K, P.O E. Abakalik: ST. Benedict Printing and Publishing. 2017; 205-208.

- Kwawukume EY, Omo-Aghoja LA. Antepartum Haemorrhage (APH). In: Comprehensive obstetrics in the tropics. Second edn. Edited by Kwawukume E, EE. E, Ekele B.A, KA D. Accra-North Ghana: Assemblies of God Literature Centre Ltd. 2015;184-197.
- 6. Richa S: Antepartum Haemorrhage. In: Bedside Obstetrics and Gyecology. edn. New Delhi: JAYPEE Brothers Medical publishers (p) Ltd. 2014;187-221.
- Wekere FCC, Kua PL, Akani A, Bademosi A. Prevalence, maternal and perinatal sequelae of antepartum haemorrhage in a tertiary hospital in South-South, Nigeria. International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2021;5(5):206-210.
- Wekere FCC, Nonye-Enyidah EI, Kua PL. Ectopic pregnancy in Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, southern Nigeria: A five-year review. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2020;6(2):044-053.
- Wekere FCC, Iwo-Amah RS, Kwosah JN, Bademosi A, Amadi CS: A Five-Year Review of Caesarean Section at the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, South-South, Nigeria. JAMMR. 2021;33(23):159-167.
- Wekere FCC, Okagua KE, Clement-Wekere GA, Ocheche US, Mberekpe PO, Altriade BO, Iwo-Amah RS. Placenta Praevia in a Tertiary Hospital in Southern Nigeria: A Six-Year Review of Prevalence, Trend, and Risk Factors. International Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research Studies 2022;2(5):350-354.
- 11. Anzaku A, Musa J. Placenta praevia: incidence, risk factors, maternal and fetal outcomes in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Jos Journal of Medicine 2012;6(1):42-46.
- 12. Bhatt AD, Meena A, Desai MR: Maternal and perinatal outcome in cases of placenta previa. IJSR. 2014;3:299-301.
- Olugbenga E, RA E, Olugbenga M, Ikheloa J, Okoeguale J, Omoregbee H, Oboh S. Placenta praevia with or without antepartum bleeding in a Nigerian suburban tertiary health institution.
- 14. Paterson-Brown S, Draycott TJ. Obstetrics Emergencies. In: Dewhurst's Texbook of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Ninth edn.

Edited by Edmonds K, Lees C, Bourne T. Hoboken, NJ John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2018.

- 15. Shakuntala P, Chandana H, Nagesh-Gowda B, Kumar A, Ramaiah R. Maternal and fetal outcomes in pregnant women with major degree placenta previa at ESIC MC and PGIMSR. Galore International Journal of Health Sciences and Research. 2020;5(4):42-52.
- Kollmann M, Gaulhofer J, Lang U, Klaritsch P. Placenta praevia: Incidence, risk factors and outcome. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2016;29(9):1395-1398.
- Tuzovic L, Djelmis J, Ilijic M. Obstetric risk factors associated with placenta previa development: Case-control study. Croat Med J 2003;44(6):728-733.
- Trivedi K, Singh SB, Bhagat M, Kumari R. Maternal and perinatal outcome in cases of placenta previa-an observational recordbased study in a tertiary care hospital in Jharkhand. Journal Of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences-JEMDS. 2017; 6(44):3411-3414.
- Wasim T, Bushra N, Riaz S, Iqbal HI. Fetomaternal outcome in patients with placenta previa. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020;36(5):952.
- 20. Burodo A, Shehu C. Placenta praevia at Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto: A 5-year review. Sahel Medical Journal. 2013;16(2):56.
- 21. Demissie K, Breckenridge MB, Joseph L, Rhoads GG: Placenta previa: preponderance of male sex at birth. American journal of epidemiology 1999, 149(9):824-830.
- 22. Sule S, Madugu H. Short Report Sex ratio at birth in Zaria, Nigeria.

Annals of Human Biology. 2004;31(2):258-262.

- 23. Jahanfar S, Lim K. Is there a relationship placental between fetal sex and pathological characteristics in twin gestations? BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2018;18(1):1-8.
- 24. Wu Wen S, Demissie K, Liu S, Marcoux S, Kramer M. Placenta praevia and male sex at birth: results from a population-based study. Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology. 2000;14(4):300-304.
- 25. Matalliotakis M, Velegrakis A, Goulielmos G, Niraki E, Patelarou A, Matalliotakis I. Association of placenta previa with a history of previous Cesarian deliveries and indications for a possible role of a genetic component. Balkan Journal of Medical Genetics. 2017;20(2):5-9.
- 26. James W. Sex ratios of offspring and the causes of placental pathology. Human reproduction. 1995;10(6):1403-1406.
- 27. Parazzini F, Dindelli M, Luchini L, La Rosa M, Potenza M, Frigerio L, Ferrari A: Risk factors for placenta praevia. Placenta. 1994;15(3):321-326.
- 28. Senkoro EE, Mwanamsangu AH, Chuwa FS, Msuya SE, Mnali OP, Brown BG, Mahande MJ: Frequency, risk factors, and adverse fetomaternal outcomes of placenta previa in Northern Tanzania. Journal of Pregnancy. 2017;2017.
- 29. Ikechebelu JI, Onwusulu DN: Placenta praevia: Review of clinical presentation and management in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Niger J Med. 2007;16(1):61-64.
- 30. Meena N, Dave A, Meena S, Meena A, Shrivastava A: Impact of placenta praevia on obstetric outcome. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2015;4(1):76-78.

© 2022 Wekere et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89862