

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

**33(23): 168-176, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.79652 ISSN: 2456-8899** (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

# The Prevalence and Risk Factors of *Giardia duodenalis* Infection in Cats in Mexico

Camilo Romero Núñez<sup>a</sup>, Laura Miranda Contreras<sup>a\*</sup> and Rafael Heredia Cardenas<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dermavet Hospital Veterinario, José de la Luz Blanco, Mz. 187, Lt. 33, Col. Santa Martha Acatitla, Ciudad de México. <sup>b</sup> Centro Integral Veterinario CIVET, Estado de Mexico, Mexico.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author CRN did the conceptualized. Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing done by author CRN. Author LMC did the Investigation, Visualization, Roles/Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Author RHC did the Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Writing - review & editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2021/v33i2331199 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr.Chan-Min Liu, Xuzhou Normal University, China. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Ahmed Hamad Saleh, Al-Qalam University, Iraq. (2) Nermin Sakru, Trakya University, Turkey. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79652</u>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 07 October 2021 Accepted 14 December 2021 Published 14 December 2021

# ABSTRACT

**Aims:** *Giardia duodenalis* is a globally distributed zoonotic protozoan. It has a variable prevalence. This study determines the prevalence of *Giardia* spp. in cat faecal samples from states of the Mexican Republic.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Was carried out in 23 of the 32 states of the Mexican Republic, from June to December 2019.

**Methodology:** Stool samples from 1591 client-owned cats were analysed for the detection of *G. duodenalis* (cysts or trophozoites). Faecal samples were analysed by direct smear techniques with and without staining (Lugol) and centrifugal floatation (faust), and were examined under a light microscope.

**Results:** Of the cats sampled, 56.94% were positive for *G. duodenalis*. Its prevalence was associated and is a risk factor in cats that live with other animals (Chi2= 21.84, p= 0.0001; OR= 1.61, p= 0.0001), with hunting habits (Chi2= 5.53 p= 0.01, OR= 1.27 p= 0.01), with access to the

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: horlwin11\_94@hotmail.com;

outside (Chi2= 53.06, p= 0.0001; OR= 2.13, p=0.0001) and with the aqueous faeces (Chi2= 12.30, p=0.03; Chi2= 1.71, p= 0.03). Factors for not presenting *Giardia* spp. in faeces were, not brushing the cat (OR=0.74, p= 0.006), provenance (OR= 0.42, p=0.02), and median height (OR= 0.78, p= 0.01). Age, gender, hair type, coexistence with other cats and other stool findings were not associated as risk factors for infection.

**Conclusion:** This study demonstrated a high overall prevalence of *G. duodenalis* in cats in Mexico, in addition to an association of its prevalence with risk factors such as cats living with other animals, hunting habits and access to outdoors.

Keywords: Giardia duodenalis; cats; prevalence; risk factors; Mexico.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most common parasites in the small animal clinic is Giardia spp. [1]. Most infections are usually subclinical; however, there may also be acute or chronic diarrhoea [2]. Giardia duodenalis, also called G. lamblia or G. intestinalis, is a flagellated protozoan parasite with two known forms: the trophozoites and cvsts are globally distributed in many vertebrates, including humans and animals (domestic and wild) [1,3,4]. Its zoonotic potential varies and depends on the assembly of the parasite. Based on the genetic analysis of some genetic markers, eight genotypes (A-F) are described, genetically different but morphologically identical, of which A and B are pathogens for humans and have zoonotic potential. The remaining six (C-H) are considered more species-specific. In cats, the zoonotic set A and the specific feline set F tend to predominate [4,5-7].

Transmission occurs through the faecal-oral direct from infected individuals or route. contaminated fomites, or through ingestion of water and/or food contaminated with environmentally resistant cysts [1,8]. The diagnosis of G. duodenalis has been made by microscopic examination of stools for trophozoites or cysts, by direct examination of faecal smears or concentration techniques, direct immunofluorescence assay (IFA), immunoenzymatic methods (ELISA) or the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has also been used [2,3,9].

There is talk of a variable prevalence between epidemiological studies from different countries, and in cat populations, depending on the age, clinical status, accommodation and geographical region of the surveyed animals and which will also be influenced by the detection method used, prevalence has generally ranged from 1% to 20%; however, some prevalence rates have been reported as high as 50% [2,3,10], these being more common in young animals and refuge populations [5]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to carry out a retrospective survey to determine the prevalence of Giardia spp. in cat faecal samples and the risk factors in the states of Mexico.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Study Area

A cross-sectional study was carried out in 23 of the 32 states of the Mexican Republic, from June to December 2019, 1,591 samples of cats (898 females and 693 males) with owner, regardless of breed, age, gender or state of Health, all owners who agreed to participate were provided with informed consent explaining what the study consisted of, a survey was conducted with epidemiological data and risk factors for *Giardia* spp.

# 2.2 Animals and Sample Analysis

All faecal samples were individually analysed by direct smear techniques with and without staining (Lugol) [11] and centrifugal floatation (Faust) using a saturated solution of zinc sulfate 33% (SG 1.18) [12] to detect G. duodenalis cysts or trophozoites. The faecal samples were examined carefully under a light microscope at 40x and 100x magnification, field by field, covering the entire slide. Samples were classified as positive when at least one cyst or trophozoite was observed. Any parasitic stage was identified using its previously described morphological characteristics [13]. The samples were analysed by 364 veterinary doctors from 208 veterinary clinics, hospitals and consulting rooms, who were trained in face-to-face workshops. video webinars conferences. or personal communication via email or WhatsApp. All data obtained were recorded on an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Office 2010) and verification of the samples analysed was by means of electronic photographs evaluated by the researcher.

# 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Due to the nature of the data, non-parametric tests were used for statistical analysis of the association between prevalence and the variables gender, age, habits and physical characteristics of the felines and faecal characteristics, using the Chi-square test. Odds Ratio analysis with an  $\alpha$ -value of 0.05 was applied to determine the risk factor of the aforementioned variables; the statistical software JMP 0.8 was used.

# 3. RESULTS

Of the 1591 cats included in this study, 898 were females and 693 males, 714 adults (13 months), 338 young (7-12 months) and 539 kittens (6 months) of the following breeds: Abyssinian, American Shorthair, Turkish Angora, Russian Bengali, Burmese, British Shorthair, Blue. Burmese, Norwegian Forest, Domestic Shorthair, Domestic Longhair, Exotic, Himalayan, Maine Coon, Manx, Persian, Siamese, Siberian, Scottish Fold, Persian Calico, Orange Tabby. The point prevalence of Giardia spp. was 56.94%. Age and gender were not associated with the presence of Giardia spp. cysts, nor were they a risk factor (Table 1).

The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings. The results and discussion part can also be described as separate, if appropriate.

Table 2 shows the results of the analysis of feline habits. Living with other cats was not associated or considered a risk factor, living with other animals is associated (Chi2 = 21.84, p = 0.0001) with prevalence of Giardia spp. and it is a risk factor (OR = 1.61, p = 0.0001), just as cats that had hunting habits had an association with Giardia spp. and it was a risk factor (Chi2 = 5.53 p = 0.01, OR = 1.27 p = 0.01), animals with access to the outside presented a strong association (Chi2 = 53.06, p = 0.0001) with the presence of Giardia spp. and it is considered as a risk factor (OR = 2.13, p = 0.0001) in this group of animals, on the other hand, never brushing the feline had no association, but it is a factor for not presenting Giardia spp. in stool (OR=0.74, p= 0.006).

Cat provenance was not associated with prevalence of *Giardia* spp. however, it was a

factor for not presenting *Giardia* spp. in faeces (OR = 0.42, p = 0.02) as it can be seen in Table 3, the type of hair was not associated nor was it a risk factor, the median size in the feline if it was associated with being negative (Chi2 = 6.12, p = 0.04) and was a factor for not presenting *Giardia* spp. (OR = 0.78, p = 0.01). Table 4 shows the characteristics of the stool, the light brown colour in the stool had no association, but it was a risk factor (Chi2 = 2.74, p = 0.0001) to present cysts of *Giardia* spp., Aqueous stool were associated (Chi2 = 12.30, p = 0.03) with prevalence and was a risk factor (Chi2 = 1.71, p = 0.03) in cats.

# 4. DISCUSSION

Among the 1591 faecal samples analysed in this study, a point prevalence of G. duodenalis of 56.94% was obtained, this result being comparable to the estimate obtained in other studies in which it is reported to be one of the most widely observed parasites in the samples analysed, with prevalence rates from 50% (Portugal), 42.1% (Australia), 36.84% (Italy), 27.9% (Romania), 20.5% (Greece), 19.1% (Japan) and 16% (Canada) [14-20]. However, other studies differ, as they have shown other parasites to be the most prevalent, finding Giardia spp. to be less frequent, mentioning prevalence rates of 0.7% (Romania), 2% (Egypt), 3.2% (Finland), 4.2% (Brazil and Spain), 5.7% (Milan), 9.9% (Canada) and 10.7% (Iran) [21-28]. The prevalence of Giardia spp. in the various studies carried out worldwide varies according to the cat population, geographical location and sensitivity of the diagnostic test used, among other factors such as the analysis of only a single faecal sample, early infections and intermittent detachment of cysts that in many of the cases can lead to an underestimation of the actual prevalence. complicating the comparison between results.

In shelters or catteries there are usually high population densities of animals and unhygienic conditions. In addition, both diagnosis and treatment can be complicated, laborious and unsuccessful in these places, so *G. duodenalis* presents a great challenge [29]. Therefore, there would be expected to be a high prevalence of *G. duodenalis* in animals kept in these conditions. In this study, it was identified that the percentage of positives was higher in adopted cats (54.47%) than in those from a cattery or those purchased. Taking into account that the cats adopted in this study came from the street or from shelters, this coincides with a study carried out in Greece,

where the prevalence was higher in cats in shelters (39.0%) than in domestic cats (15.6%) [20]. Cats from a street environment have probably never received deworming treatment, in addition to being able to access various sources of parasitic infection, and the conditions may play an important role in the transmission of these parasites through faecal environmental contamination (soil, food or water) [28]. However, in Thailand a higher prevalence of G. duodenalis was found in cats from catteries (76.9%) compared to those living at home (15.1%), with significant difference (p = 0.01) [30].

The risk factors of cats that lived with other animals, that had hunting habits or had access to the outdoors were the most significant, showing a significant differences of p = 0.0001, 0.01 and 0.0001, respectively, compared to cats that lived with others of the same species; the latter only showing a higher percentage of positives (42.24%). This susceptibility to Giardia spp. in cats that had access to the outdoors has also reported in another studv been [31]. Tangtrongsup et al. [30] showed that households with multiple cats showed higher prevalence (43.2%) and also that the number of animals (5-10) showed a significant difference (p = 0.02), although, there are others who differ from this, since the raising of a single cat showed greater prevalence than multiple cats [17]. Considering that cats with more access to the outdoors are more likely to hunt and be in contact with intermediate hosts than are indoor cats, this could be one reason why these cats showed greater prevalence in this study. Households with cats living with more animals are likely to share environmental conditions that expose them to sources of G. duodenalis infection or even to infected animals that act as a source of reinfection for the other members. Living with other cats in the same residence could lead to stress, which could be a reason for high prevalence.

It is known that many cats can persist asymptomatically, but that *Giardia* spp. can generate a variety of signs, such as diarrhoea [32]. In this study, an association ( $Chi^2 = 12.30$ , p = 0.03) was found to prevail and aqueous stool was a risk factor ( $Chi^2 = 1.71$ , p = 0.03) when comparing stool consistencies (watery, soft, pasty and hard and dried); however, *Giardia* spp. Was found in greater numbers (31.05%) in firm stool. The light brown colour in the stool was associated as a risk factor ( $Chi^2 = 2.74$ , p = 0.0001) for the presence of cysts of *Giardia* spp.,

but when dealing with structures found in the stool, no association was found with the presence of G. duodenalis. Other studies have previously reported that of cats positive for G. duodenalis, 90% did not present diarrhoea [31] and even 100% were asymptomatic [16]. This also coincides with a survey carried out in a shelter, where a higher number (40%) cats without diarrhoea had G. duodenalis, while house cats with diarrhoea were more prevalent (16.4%) [20]. Other studies coincide with the latter, since a higher prevalence of *G. duodenalis* has been found in diarrheal cats than in cats with normal stools, with no significant difference [29,30,33] and with significant difference [15]. These cats that do not develop clinical signs play an important role in the transmission of G. duodenalis, since they act as carriers [31].

According to the gender analysis, females were more positive (32.56%) than males (24.39%); however, the sex was not a significant risk factor in this study (p = 0.50), which coincides with another study by Tangtrongsup et al. [30] where females (32.1%) showed a higher percentage of positives than males (23.7%), but the difference was not statistically significant. Another study differed from this, since males showed a higher prevalence (36.8%) than females (32.2%) [34], although, as in this study, but the difference was not statistically significant. Until now it is unknown whether sexual orientation is due to behavioural reasons (affiliative or gender) or intrinsic biological reasons, so this factor would need to be studied further [35]. For example, pregnancy can generate an immunosuppressive effect contributing to the rates of excretion of parasites [36].

In 2018, in a study in Mazovia evaluating the status of parasitic infections, G. duodenalis cysts were recovered in 30.4% of faeces from younger cats (<1 year) and 38.8% of those from adult cats (>1 year) but the difference was not statistically significant [34]. This was the case in this study, where an age-related risk of G. duodenalis infection was not observed, since, although the age group ≥13 months showed higher prevalence (25.33%) than the group of  $\leq 6$ months (18.86%) and from 7 to 12 months (12.76%), no significant difference was found (p = 0.41). This coincides with other studies where no relationship with age was seen [35,37]. However, other studies have found higher prevalence in younger cats than in adults [17,18,31,38,39], even finding it to be a risk factor, especially in cats of <6 months, they being more likely to become infected with *G. duodenalis* [15,31-33,39]. Age-related risk for *G. duodenalis* infection has been associated in puppies with a poor immune response [32]. However, in this study it is likely that other unidentified factors are contributing to the presence of *G. duodenalis* in older cats.

Table 1. Risk factors and associations of the prevalence of *Giardia* with the age and gender of cats

	Positives n= 906	%	Negatives n= 685	%	Chi <sup>2</sup>	Ρ	OR	Р	CI
Age									
≤6 months	300	18.86	239	15.02	1.77	0.41	0.92	0.45	0.749-1.139
7 - 12 months	203	12.76	135	8.49					
≥13 months	403	25.33	311	19.55					
Gender									
Female	518	32.56	380	23.88	0.45	0.49	1.07	0.49	0.87-1.30
Male	388	24.39	305	19.07					

Chi-square, OR, odds ratio, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval, \* Significative

Table 2. Habits and their association with the presence of Giardia and risk factors in cats

	Positives n= 906	%	Negatives	%	Chi <sup>2</sup>	Р	OR	Р	CI
			n= 685						
Live with ot									
Yes	672	42.24	499	31.36	0.35	0.55	1.07	0.55	0.85-1.34
No	234	14.71	186	11.69					
Live with ot	her animals	s							
Yes	549	33.53	334	21.01	21.84	0.0001*	1.61	0.0001*	1.31-1.96
No	357	22.45	350	22.01					
Hunting hal	oit								
Yes	559	35.16	383	24.09	5.53	0.01*	1.27	0.01*	1.04-1.55
No	346	21.76	302						
Outside acc	ess								
Yes	472	29.09	231	14.53	53.06	0.0001*	2.13	0.0001*	1.73-2.61
No	434	27.30	453	28.49					
Brushed									
Daily	46	2.89	30	1.89					
Weekly	196	12.32	155	9.74					
Monthly	73	4.59	71	4.46					
Never	591	37.15	429	26.96	3.28	0.35	0.74	0.006*	0.597-0.917

Chi-square, OR, odds ratio, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval, \* Significative

	Positives n= 906	%	Negatives n= 685	%	Chi <sup>2</sup>	Р	OR	Ρ	CI
Origin									
Adopted	866	54.47	647	40.69					
Bought	10	0.63	18	1.13	6.86	0.07	0.42	0.02*	0.19-0.91
Hatchery	19	1.19	15	0.94					
Unknown	11	0.69	5	0.25					
Hair type									
Long	706	44.40	531	33.40	0.05	0.81	0.97	0.81	0.76-1.23
Short	199	12.52	154	9.69					
Size									
Big	133	8.36	84	5.28					
Medium	388	24.39	335	21.05	6.12	0.04*	0.78	0.01	0.641-0.955
Small	385	24.20	266	16.72					

Chi-square, OR, odds ratio, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval, \* Significative

	Positivo n= 906	es %	Negatives n= 685	%	Chi <sup>2</sup>	Ρ	OR	Р	CI
Color									
Yellow	108	6.79	85	5.34					
White	3	0.19	0	0					
Light brown	508	31.93	378	23.76	6.29	0.39	2.74	0.0001*	1.995-3.768
Out of	9	0.57	5	0.31					
classification									
Brown	155	9.74	103	6.47					
Black	99	6.22	90	5.66					
Green	24	1.51	24	1.51					
Consistency									
Aqueous	51	3.21	23	1.45	12.30	0.03*	1.71	0.03*	1.03-2.83
Soft	80	5.03	56	3.52					
Hard and dry	46	2.89	57	3.58					
Firm	494	31.05	382	24.01					
Out of	4	0.25	1	0.06					
classification									
Pasty	231	14.52	166	10. 43					
Findings									
Unidentified	82	5.15	58	3.65					
structures									
Mucus	119	7.48	75	4.71	3.25	0.51	1.22	0.18	0.90-1.67
Parasites	78	4.90	51	3.21					
Blood	49	3.08	37	2.33					
No findings	578	36.33		29.16	<i>C. 1 </i>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 4. Stool characteristics and association with Giardia prevalence and risk factor

Chi-square, OR, odds ratio, 95% CI, 95% confidence interval, \* Significative

No significant associations were found for the presence of *G. duodenalis*, between hair type (p = 0.81) and brushing habits (p = 0.35), although cats with long hair were found to be more positive (44.40%) to Giardia than those with short hair. Furthermore, *G. duodenalis* was found to be more prevalent (37.15%) in cats that never received hair brushing compared to cats that had a brushing habit. When feline size was compared, the association was found to be negative (Chi<sup>2</sup> = 6.12, p = 0.04) and was a factor for not presenting *Giardia* spp. (OR = 0.78, p = 0.01) in cats that were medium in size. This contrasts with a previous study [17] where no significant difference related to size was found.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated a high prevalence of G. duodenalis (56.94%) in cats from different states of Mexico using three different diagnostic techniques. Cats that live with other animals, with hunting habits and with access to the outdoors are associated with the prevalence of G. duodenalis and are risk factors. It has been reported that there are other sources of human infection more relevant than the risk of infection by pets. However, subsequent genotyping studies would be necessary for a realistic estimate of the zoonotic risk of *G. duodenalis* in cats in Mexico.

#### CONSENT

All owners who agreed to participate were provided with informed consent explaining what the study consisted of, a survey was conducted with epidemiological data and risk factors for *Giardia* spp.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Moraes LF, Neto VAK, de Oliveira RM, Providelo GA, Babboni SD, Ferreira JCP, et al. Estudo retrospectivo e comparativo da prevalência de Giardia sp. em cães, gatos e pequenos ruminantes em áreas endêmicas em diferentes estados brasileiros. Acta Scie Vet. 2019;47: 1657.

DOI: 10.22456/1679-9216.91878

 Saleh MN, Heptinstall JR, Johnson DS, Ballweber LR, Lindsay DS, Were S, et al. Comparison of diagnostic techniques for detection of Giardia duodenalis in dogs and cats. J Vet Inten Med. 2019;33:1272-1277.

DOI: 10.1111/jvim.15491

- Gruffydd-Jones T, Addie D, Belák S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Egberink H, Frymus T, et al. Giardiasis in cats: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. J Feline Med Surg. 2013; 15:650–652. DOI: 10.1177/1098612X13489232
- Rehbein S, Klotz C, Ignatius R, Müller E, Aebischer A, Kohn B. *Giardia duodenalis* in small animals and their owners in Germany: A pilot study. Zoonoses Public Hlth. 2018;66:1-8. DOI: 10.1111/zph.12541
- 5. Tysnes KR, Luyckx K, Cantas L, Robertson, LJ. Treatment of feline giardiasis during an outbreak of diarrhoea in a cattery: Potential effects on faecal Escherichia coli resistance patterns. J Feline Med Surg. 2015;18:679–682. DOI:10.1177/1098612X15588798
- Sommer MF, Rupp P, Pietsch M, Kaspar A, Beelitz P. Giardia in a selected population of dogs and cats in Germany – diagnostics, coinfections and assemblages. Vet Parasitol. 2018;249:49– 56.

DOI: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.11.006

 Saleh MN, Lindsay DS, Leib MS, Zajac AM. *Giardia duodenalis* assemblages in cats from Virginia, USA. Vet. Parasitol.: Regional Studies and Reports. 2019;15: 100257.

DOI: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2018.100257

 Ballweber LR, Xiao L, Bowman DD, Kahn G, Cama VA. Giardiasis in dogs and cats: update on epidemiology and public health significance. Trends Parasitol. 2010;26: 180–189.

DOI: 10.1016/j.pt.2010.02.005

 Fantinatti M. Zoonotic potential of Giardia lamblia and control of giardiasis. Insights Vet Sci. 2019;3:001-004. DOI: 10.29328/journal.ivs.1001013

- Sevgisunar NS, Şahinduran Ş, Adanır R. Efficacy of Secnidazole in the Treatment of Giardiasis in a Cat. MAKÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergis. 2013;1:26-29.
- 11. Hooshyar H, Rostamkhani P, Arbabi M, Delavari M. *Giardia lamblia* infection: review of current diagnostic strategies. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench. 2019; 12:3-12.
- Faust EC, D'Antoni JO, Odom V, Miller MJ, Peres C, Sawitz W, et al. A critical study of clinical laboratory technics for the diagnosis of protozoan cysts and helminth eggs in feces. Am J Trop Med. 1938;18: 169–183. DOI:https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1938.s1-

18.169.13. Zajac AM, Conboy GA. Veterinary Clinical Parasitology, 8th Ed. Wiley-Blackwell;

- 2012.
  14. Ferreira FS, Pereira-Baltasar P, Parreira R, Padre L, Vilhena M, Tavira LT, et al. Intestinal parasites in dogs and cats from the district of Évora, Portugal. Vet Parasitol. 2011;179:242–245. DOI: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.02.003
- Mircean V, Györke A, Jarca A, Cozma, V. Prevalence of Giardia species in stool samples by ELISA in household cats from Romania and risk factors. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2011;13:479–482. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfms.2011.01.003
- Suzuki J, Murata R, Kobayashi S, Sadamasu K, Kai A, Takeuchi, T. Risk of human infection with Giardia duodenalis from cats in Japan and genotyping of the isolates to assess the route of infection in cats. Parasitol. 2011;138:493–500.

DOI: 10.1017/S0031182010001459

- Zanzani SA, Gazzonis AL, Scarpa, P. Intestinal Parasites of Owned Dogs and Cats from Metropolitan and Micropolitan Areas: Prevalence, Zoonotic Risks, and Pet Owner Awareness in Northern Italy. Biomed Res. Int. 2014;696508. DOI: 10.1155/2014/696508
- Hoopes J, Hill JE, Polley L, Fernando C, Wagner B, Schurer J, et al. Enteric parasites of free-roaming, owned, and rural cats in prairie regions of Canada. Can. Vet. J. 2015;56:495-501.
- 19. Šlapeta J, Dowd SE, Alanazi AD, Westman ME, Brown GK. Differences in the faecal microbiome of non-diarrhoeic clinically healthy dogs and cats associated with *Giardia duodenalis* infection: Impact of

hookworms and coccidia. Int. J. Parasitol. 2015;45:585–594.

DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2015.04.001

 Kostopoulou D, Claerebout E, Arvanitis D, Ligda P, Voutzourakis N, Casaert S, et al. Abundance, zoonotic potential and risk factors of intestinal parasitism amongst dog and cat populations: The scenario of Crete, Greece. Parasit. Vectors. 2017;10: 43.

DOI: 10.1186/s13071-017-1989-8.

 Mircean V, Titilincu A, Vasile C. Prevalence of endoparasites in household cat (*Felis catus*) populations from Transylvania (Romania) and association with risk factors. Vet. Parasitol. 2010;171: 163–166.

DOI: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.03.005

22. Khalafalla RE. A survey study on gastrointestinal parasites of stray cats in northern region of Nile Delta, Egypt. PLoS ONE 2011;6:e20283.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020283

- Dado D, Montoya A, Blanco MA, Miró G, Saugar JM, Bailo B, et al. Prevalence and genotypes of Giardia duodenalis from dogs in Spain: possible zoonotic transmission and public health importance. Parasitol. Res. 2012;111(6):2419-22. DOI: 10.1007/s00436-012-3100-x
- Näreaho A, Puomio J, Saarinen K, Jokelainen P, Juselius T, Sukura, A. Feline intestinal parasites in Finland: prevalence, risk factors and anthelmintic treatment practices. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2012;14: 378–383. DOI: 10.1177/1098612X12439257
- Hoopes JH, Polley L, Wagner B, Jenkins, EJ. A retrospective investigation of feline gastrointestinal parasites in western Canada. Can. Vet. J. 2013;54: 359-362.
- Pivoto FL, Lopes LFD, Vogel FSF, Botton S, de AB, Sangioni LA. Ocorrência de parasitos gastrointestinais e fatores de risco de parasitismo em gatos domésticos urbanos de Santa Maria, RS, Brasil. Ciência Rural, Santa Maria. 2013;43:1453-1458. Available:https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-

Available:https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782013000800018

 Spada E, Proverbio D, Pepa AD, Domenichini G, Bagnagatti De GG, Traldi G. Prevalence of faecal-borne parasites in colony stray cats in northern Italy. J. Feline Med. Surg. 2013;15:672–677.

- Khademvatan S, Abdizadeh R, Rahim F, Hashemitabar M, Ghasemi M, Tavalla M. Stray Cats Gastrointestinal Parasites and its Association with Public Health in Ahvaz City, South Western of Iran. Jundishapur J. Microbiol. 2014;7:e11079. DOI: 10.5812/jjm.11079
- Sabshin SJ, Lev JK, Tupler T, Tucker SJ, Griner EC and Leutenegger CM. Enteropathogens identified in cats entering a Florida animal shelter with normal feces or diarrhea. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 2012; 241:331–337.

DOI: 10.2460/javma.241.3.331

- Tangtrongsup S, Scorza AV, Reif JS, Ballweber LR, Lappin MR, Salman, et al. Seasonal distributions and other risk factors for Giardia duodenalis and Cryptosporidium spp. infections in dogs and cats in Chiang Mai, Thailand. Preventive Vet. Med. 2020;104820. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetm ed.2019.104820
- Itoh N, Muraoka N, Kawamata J, Aoki M, Itagaki, T. Prevalence of Giardia intestinalis Infection in Household Cats of Tohoku District in Japan. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 2006;68:161–163. DOI: 10.1292/jvms.68.161
- Symeonidou I, Gelasakis AI, Arsenopoulos K, Angelou A, Beugnet F, Papadopoulos E. Feline gastrointestinal parasitism in Greece: Emergent zoonotic species and associated risk factors. Parasit. Vectors. 2018;11:227. DOI: 10.1186/s13071-018-2812-x
- Queen EV, Marks SL, Farver, TB. Prevalence of selected bacterial and parasitic agents in feces from diarrheic and healthy control cats from northern California. J Vet. Intern. Med. 2012;26:54-60.

DOI: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2011.00843.x

- Bartosik J, Łojek J, Puchała M, Kaczyk U, Górski P, Długosz E, et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasites detected in routine coproscopic methods in dogs and cats from the Masovian voivodeship in 2012-2015. Medycyna Weterynaryjna. 2018;75: 6153.
- 35. Pallant L, Barutzki D, Schaper R, Thompson, RCA. The epidemiology of infections with *Giardia* species and genotypes in well cared for dogs and cats in Germany. Parasit. Vectors. 2015;8:2. DOI: 10.1186/s13071-014-0615-2

Núñez et al.; JAMMR, 33(23): 168-176, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.79652

36. Munoz-Suano A, Hamilton AB, Betz, AG. Gimme shelter: the immune system during pregnancy. Immunol. Rev. 2011;241:20– 38.

DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01002.x

- Garcia-Campos A, Power C, O'Shaughnessy J, Browne C, Lawlor A, McCarthy G, et al. One-year parasitological screening of stray dogs and cats in County Dublin, Ireland. Parasitol. 2018;18:1-7. DOI: 10.1017/S0031182018002020
- Villeneuve A, Polley L, Jenkins E, Schurer J, Gilleard J, Kutz S, et al. Parasite prevalence in fecal samples from shelter dogs and cats across the Canadian provinces. Parasit. Vectors. 2015;8: 281.
- DOI: 10.1186/s13071-015-0870-x
  39. Barutzki D, Schaper R. Results of parasitological examinations of faecal samples from cats and dogs in Germany between 2003 and 2010. Parasitol. Res. 2012;2(109):S45-S60. DOI: 10.1007/s00436-011-2402-8

© 2021 Núñez et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79652