



Role of Tribespeople in the Sustenance of Biodiversity and Agroecosystem

Shahlas Binth. T^{a++*}

^a *Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, KAU, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4492>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121761>

Review Article

Received: 18/06/2024

Accepted: 21/08/2024

Published: 24/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Most ecoregions worldwide have experienced significant habitat conversion, with high levels of transformation and inadequate protection prevalent across Europe, South and Southeast Asia, Western South and North America, Western Africa, and Madagascar. Conversely, ecoregions requiring substantial protection and minimal conversion are largely found in the Arctic, Northern Amazon, North Asia, and Central Australia. Historically, long before formal conservation strategies emerged, tribespeople developed sophisticated conservation practices that effectively preserved environmental richness. These practices included sophisticated codes to prevent overhunting and maintain biodiversity. Tribespeople, acting as guardians of wildlife, engage in diverse livelihoods that include agriculture, hunting, and gathering edible plants. They also contribute to genome conservation at both community and individual levels. However, tribal communities face significant challenges such as eviction for conservation purposes, loss of control over natural resources, displacement, and legal issues. Solutions to these challenges have been explored through isolation, assimilation, and integration approaches. To reconcile conservation with tribal rights, it is crucial

⁺⁺ PhD Holder;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shahlassadiq503@gmail.com;

Cite as: T, Shahlas Binth. 2024. "Role of Tribespeople in the Sustenance of Biodiversity and Agroecosystem". *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture* 17 (4):1-11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4492>.

that conservationists protect the land rights of tribespeople, consult them on their needs, and support their traditional practices. Evidence increasingly shows that tribespeople, who manage a wide range of species crucial for their food, health, and economic security, are exceptionally skilled at environmental stewardship. Thus, conservation efforts should focus on upholding tribal land rights as a cost-effective solution, leveraging the tribes' deep-rooted knowledge and practices for better environmental outcomes.

Keywords: Agroecosystem; biodiversity; conservation; tribespeople.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Humans have reshaped patterns and processes in ecosystems across the terrestrial biosphere, both intentionally and unintentionally for millennia” [1,2]. “This reshaping has accelerated over time, with a human footprint now obvious in most parts of the terrestrial realm” [3,4]. “One of the more severe impacts of this anthropogenic transformation of the biosphere is the loss of natural habitats. Protected Areas (PAs) spearhead global efforts to conserve nature, and when properly managed they are particularly effective for combating habitat loss” [5, 6]. “The vast majority of ecoregions have very high levels of habitat conversion compared to their overall areal protection. These highly converted and poorly protected ecoregions occur across all continents and dominate Europe, south and south-east Asia, western South and North America, western Africa, and Madagascar. The small number of ecoregions that contain high levels of protection and low levels of conversion are primarily located in the Arctic, the northern Amazon, North Asia and central Australia. Most agroecosystems are disturbed more frequently and with greater intensity than natural ecosystems resulting in reduced biological diversity” [7]. Long before the concept of conservation was formally defined, indigenous tribes developed highly effective practices for maintaining environmental richness. They implemented sophisticated conservation codes to prevent overhunting and safeguard biodiversity.

2. AGROECOLOGY

“Agroecology is the study of the interactions between plants, animals, humans and the environment within agricultural systems” [8]. Agroecology focuses on food production systems that optimize the use of nature’s resources while minimizing harm to those resources. Agroecological practices support the goals of sustainable agriculture by providing adequate food for a growing global population, protecting

the environment and natural resources, reducing reliance on non-renewable energy, and ensuring economic viability for farmers and their communities. “Agroecology is key to transforming food and agricultural systems and achieving the sustainable development goals” [9]. “Addressing human and social values is a core element of agroecology, including questions of equality and social justice in food systems, supporting autonomy and wellbeing of food producers, fostering meaningful, dignified forms of food systems work, and reshaping ways of interacting with nonhuman species and ecosystem” [10].

3. AGROECOSYSTEM

“Ecosystem functioning impacts human well-being through the ecosystem services provided. Ecosystems may supply food, fuel, or fibre (provisioning services), contribute to the regulation of natural functions (regulating services), or even provide an environment for leisure activities (cultural services). Thus, ecosystem services represent ecosystem flows that are ultimately perceived as contributions to human well-being” [11]. An agroecosystem is an ecosystem under agricultural management, connected to other ecosystems [12]. “Agroecosystems are complex systems in which many species interact with ecological processes that take place at different spatial scales, and with strong interactions between ecological and management processes” [13].

“Agroecosystems comprise 30 per cent of the earth’s surface. They provide various ecosystem services and management practices used in the agroecosystems determine the state of the global environment” [14].

4. BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY/ BIODIVERSITY

“Biological diversity is the variability among living organisms inhabiting all environments and

ecological systems” [15]. “Biodiversity can be considered at genetic, species, and ecosystem levels. In agroecosystems, it serves three primary functions: genetic, agricultural, and ecological. The genetic function involves preserving the gene pool of species, especially those that are endangered. The agricultural function focuses on enhancing the resilience of agroecosystems to both abiotic and biotic stresses while sustaining their productivity. The ecological function supports the overall health and balance of the ecosystem. Biodiversity has also ecological functions, for example, creating habitats with different flora and fauna species that have specific significance in agroecosystems” [16]. “The rapid increase in human population and humanity’s greed for luxurious living have resulted in marked environmental degradation, particularly in the recent decades, increasing species extinction hundred or even thousand-fold compared to background extinctions” [17]. The loss of biological diversity is a critical global issue and a significant threat to our civilization. The destruction of primary ecosystems, coupled with intensive farming, urbanization, and infrastructure development, leads to the depletion of species and undermines the stability and resilience of ecosystems. This loss not only diminishes the variety of life on Earth but also impairs ecosystem functions that are vital for human survival, including climate regulation, clean water supply, and food security. The degradation of these systems can have far-reaching impacts on both the environment and human societies.

5. INDIGENOUS AGROECOLOGY

This concept, proposed by political ecologist Josep Gari, aims to recognize and uphold the integrated agroecological practices of many indigenous peoples, who manage and use ecosystems in a sustainable manner for agricultural, food, biodiversity, and cultural purposes simultaneously [18]. Indigenous agroecology is not a static system but continuously evolve with new knowledge and resources, including those from development projects, research initiatives, and agro-biodiversity exchanges. Historically, indigenous peoples were among the first agroecologists and have advocated for development policies and programs that support their traditional practices rather than

replace them. The term 'indigenous' has been used generically for many years, but in different regions, alternative terms may be preferred, such as tribes, *First Peoples/Nations*, *Aboriginals*, *ethnic groups*, *Adivasi*, or *Janajati*. Occupational and geographical terms such as hunter-gatherers, nomads, peasants, and hill people may also be used to describe these groups.

“Many areas inhabited by indigenous people coincide with some of the world’s remaining major concentrations of biodiversity. Traditional indigenous territories encompass up to 22 percent of the world’s land surface and they coincide with areas that hold 80 percent of the planet’s biodiversity” [19]. “Also, the greatest diversity of indigenous groups coincides with the world’s largest tropical forest wilderness areas in the Americas (including Amazon), Africa, and Asia, and 11 percent of world forest lands are legally owned by indigenous people and communities” [20]. “Indigenous peoples and local communities are key actors in environmental governance who maintain intergenerational connections to place and nature through livelihood practices, cultural identity, worldviews, institutions, and ecological knowledge” [21]. “However, indigenous peoples are among the first to face the direct impacts of global warming on the ecosystems or landscapes they inhabit, owing also to their dependence upon, and close relationship with the environment and its resources” [22].

5.1 Indigenous People of India

“In India, 461 ethnic groups are officially recognized as Scheduled Tribes, representing the country’s indigenous population. In mainland India, these Scheduled Tribes are commonly referred to as Adivasis, a term that literally means 'indigenous people'. The population of Scheduled Tribes (STs) in the country is 10.45 crore which constitute 8.6 percent of the country's total population and 11.3 percent of the total rural population” [23].

“The largest concentrations of indigenous peoples are found in the seven states of north-east India, and the so called central tribal belt stretching from Rajasthan to West Bengal. Table 1 shows major tribes residing in the different states or union territories of India” [23].

Table 1. Major tribes of India [23]

Top 5 States/ UTs: Major Tribes	
Lakshadweep	<i>Aminidivi, Koyas, Malmis and Malacheriis</i>
Mizoram	<i>Chakma, Dimasa, Khasi, Kuki, Lakher, Pawai</i>
Nagaland	<i>Angami, Garo, Kachari, Kuki, Mikir, Nagas</i>
Meghalaya	<i>Chakma, Garos, Hajong, Jaintias Khas</i>
Arunachal Pradesh	<i>Patanis, Abor, Dafla, Galong, Momba</i>
Bottom 5 States/ UTs: Major Tribes	
Uttar Pradesh	<i>Bhotia, Buksa, Jaunsari, Kol, Raji, Tharu.</i>
Tamil Nadu	<i>Adiyan, Aranadan, Eravallan, Irular, Kadar</i>
Bihar	<i>Asur, Baiga, Birhor, Birjia, Chero, Gond</i>
Kerala	<i>Adiyan, Kuruchiyar, Paniyar, Kurumbas</i>
Uttarakhand	<i>Bhotias, Buksa, Jannari, Khas, Raji, Tharu</i>

6. CULTURE-BIODIVERSITY CONNECTION

The local wisdom of indigenous people in nature conservation plays a critical part in protecting the planet’s biodiversity and the overall health of the ecosystems [24]. Cultural elements such as traditions, beliefs, practices, and celebrations are closely linked to environmental conservation. The knowledge, innovations, and practices of tribal communities reflect their cultural heritage and are integral to their conservation efforts. This biodiversity-based culture embodies indigenous agroecological practices. Garí [18] “conducted

field research in the indigenous communities of Curaray, Pastaza, Ecuador showed that, a correlation trend between cultural values and genetic agrobiodiversity for the 53 main cultivated species in the indigenous agroecosystems (Fig. 1). In essence, the indigenous cultural frame drives the conservation, use, selection, and production of biodiversity. Biodiversity conservation and indigenous cultural systems are inextricable. The cultural dimension fosters the selection and maintenance of biodiversity, which is also associated to ecological, nutritional, gastronomic and medicinal values” [18].




Fig. 1. Culture-biodiversity curve [18]

7. TRIBESPEOPLE AS GUARDIANS OF WILDLIFE

7.1 Plant Utilization for Food

Ethnic and indigenous communities have preserved numerous plants and endangered cultivars of agricultural crops, including rice, maize, millets, grains, legumes, fruits, and vegetables. These crops have originated in diverse agroecological climates across the northeastern, central, and peninsular regions of India. “Ethnobotanical investigation performed during 2003 and 2005 to collect, identify and document information on the wild food plants traditionally used by the tribes in the Parambikulam Wildlife Sanctuary in Palakkad district of Kerala state, India. Eighty three species are used by tribes as vegetables, wild fruits, beverages or in other preparations. Wild vegetables formed the largest group which includes roots, tubers, young leaves and buds,

inflorescence, unripe/ ripe fruits and seeds” (Fig. 2) [25].

The *Kattunaikka* classified *Dioscorea* into six groups (1) *Noora–Korana*, (2) *Kavala–Shoddy*, (3) *Hekku*, (4) *Venni*, (5) *Naara* and (6) *Hendhiride* and two with single species (7) *Nara kalasu* and (8) *Salukalasu* (Table 2). Each group is examined in terms of their characteristics, quality of the tuber, habitat and distribution within the district [26].

7.2 Medicinal Herbs

A total of 67 medicinal plant species belonging to 44 families used by the *Kurichiya*, *Kuruma*, *Kattunaika*, *Adiyan* and *Paniya* tribes residing at Vythiri have been recorded [27]. The common health ailments treated in the study area were skin problems, wound, toothache, abdominal problems, kidney stones, diabetes, bone fracture, arthritis, piles, fits, asthma, head ache, urinary troubles etc.




Fig. 2. Number of species of each category of food use and habit [25]

Table 2. *Dioscorea* consumed by the *Kattunaikka* tribes of Wayanad [26]

Sl. No.	Groups	Types
1	Noora–Korana (<i>D. pentaphylla</i>)	Noora and Korana
2	Kavala–Shoddy (<i>D. intermedia</i>)	Kavala kalasu, Ere kalasu, Shoddi kalasu and Boojikavala
3	Hekku (<i>D. belophylla</i>)	Hekku typical, Hekku heruman and Heruman
4	Venni (<i>D. hamiltonii</i>)	Kalu venni and Mooda venni
5	Naara (<i>D. kalkapershadii</i>)	Naara typical and Naara mooyan
6	Hendhiride (<i>D. bulbifera</i>)	Vella hendhiride and Choppu hendhiride
7	Nara kalasu (<i>D. kalkapershadii</i>)	
8	Salu kalasu (<i>D. tomentosa</i>)	

Many plant species are of great economic importance to tribes as rhizomes of such plants like *Acorus calamus*, stem bark of *Bunchania lanzan* Spreng., stem and leaves of *Moringa oleifera* Lam., *Achyrocline satureioides* Linn., *Gynandropsis gynandra* Linn., *Bombax ceiba* Linn. are being used as antidote of snake - bite and scorpion sting [28].

7.3 Rituals and Beliefs

“Indigenous belief systems often plays significant role in the conservation of plants and animals. Such beliefs provide ethical and social models for living respectfully with nature thereby conserving the nature while utilizing it. Different tribes around the world have evolved valuable religious and cultural practices that are intricately associated with the nature which directly or indirectly contributes in the conservation of biodiversity” [29]. “Social taboos as indigenous belief systems have limiting people from cutting down trees from sacred sites, killing birds and injuring nature carelessly. Trees in sacred forest were not axed except when it is needed for public uses like local bridge constructions and chopping its woods for ritual purposes with consent of cultural elders” [30]. The tribal culture found in central India's regions, including the Dindori, Balaghat, and Mandla districts of Madhya Pradesh and the Kawardha and Bilaspur districts of Chhattisgarh, has been well-documented. Survey studies indicate that plants and flowers hold significant cultural and spiritual value for these communities and tribals often worship trees and flowers, believing that deities reside within them [28].

Sacred groves are found in various regions of India, including the Western Ghats, Central India, and northeastern India, particularly where indigenous communities reside. These groves are known by different names given by local ethnic groups. They serve as crucial centers for biodiversity conservation. Many plants and animals that are threatened in surrounding forests are still well-preserved in these sacred groves. Observations have shown that several medicinal plants, which are scarce in the broader forest, are abundant in these groves. Additionally, sacred groves often harbor rare, endangered, threatened, and endemic species [31]. The *Santali* tribe of West Bengal worship several deities including *Marang Buru*, *Moreko*, *Jair Era*, *Gosain Era*, *Pargana*, and *Manjhi*. Each of these gods has a designated place in the sacred grove [32]. For the *Khond* tribes, *Gossa*

Pennu, the God of Forests, is particularly significant, and they maintain a sacred grove dedicated to him. This grove is governed by a set of taboos regarding resource harvesting, and offerings are made to the deities. The *Khonds* also revere other deities such as *Pitabaldi*, meaning 'Great Father God,' and *Loha Penu*, the war God or God of arms [33]. In Uttarakhand, the *Bhotiya* tribes hold a belief that *Lord Hanuman* visited their village and uprooted all their medicinal plants, which led to an outbreak of untreatable diseases among the people [34].

A study conducted by [35] showed that *Aka* tribes of Arunachal Pradesh believe that extraction of forest materials, collection of stones, hunting, etc. from the mountain is strictly prohibited. A saying goes, *one, who plucks at mountain will lose the way and will bleed to death*. This belief of the people is so strong that even today; no one dares to visit the mountain. Such beliefs have either directly or indirectly helped in the conservation of various forest products of the area. These people worship at the pond but plucking of any leaves near this pond is prohibited. The belief, *one who plucks would never return home, and would keep returning here*. They believe that the chirping of the bird symbolizes the grief or sadness. The bird is not killed and is conserved because it signals about the approaching bad times

7.4 Hunting and Conservation

Indigenous people sustain their livelihoods through various means. In addition to agriculture, they hunt wild animals and gather edible plant roots. While hunting can pose risks to the environment and disrupt biodiversity, many traditional communities are conscious of these impacts. They actively seek alternative survival strategies and adopt sustainable hunting practices. This is particularly evident among some tribal communities in our country. [36] studied the *Onges* tribe, who are known for their hunting of wild pigs (*Sus scrofa andamanensis*). The *Onges* developed a technique to prevent over-hunting in any specific area. When an *Onges* killed a pig, they would break a branch of the largest tree in the vicinity, leaving it partially broken and hanging. This broken branch served as a signal to other *Onges* that a pig had been recently hunted in that location. Consequently, other hunters would avoid the area and move to a different location. Once the branch had fully dried and fallen off after a period, the area would be reopened for hunting.

Sustainable honey hunting practices, rooted in ancient traditions, reflect the deep connection between people and honeybees. For example, among the *Nilgiri* tribes, certain cliffs are deemed sacred and left untouched. Hunters collect only the honey, leaving the brood intact to maintain ecological balance. The *Toda* tribe employs a unique method, extracting honey from *Apis cerana* Fab. combs in tree cavities without smoke or tools. Instead, they gently breathe into the cavity to calm the bees and then hand-harvest the honeycomb, leaving the brood behind. This approach avoids harming the brood and maintains ecological harmony, unlike cliff hunting, which sometimes involves removing entire hives and harming all the brood.

8. TRADITIONAL AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES

Zero Valleys in Arunachal Pradesh, a UNESCO World Heritage Site candidate, is home to the *Apatani* tribe, whose deep connection to their sacred landscape is reflected in their sustainable practices. They employ a unique paddy-cum-agriculture system with intricate canal networks and avoid using animals or machines in farming [37]. Similarly, the *Bonda* tribe uses shifting cultivation to grow diverse crops like local paddy, millets, and oilseeds without harming the climate or forest [38]. In Madhya Pradesh's Dindori district, the *Baiga* tribe practices *Benvar* agriculture, where crops like maize and legumes support each other, enhancing soil fertility and protecting plants [39]. Mixed cropping is employed by various tribes to meet food and economic needs, growing a range of crops including *Panivaragu*, *Karunsamai*, Field bean, Red gram, and Mustard [40]. The Forest Department allocates land for farming under traditional roles such as the *Ooru Moopan* (Chieftain), with support from the *Bhandari* (Treasurer), *Kuruthalai* (Junior Headman), and *Mannukkaran* (agricultural expert), though the latter's role has become largely ceremonial with the shift to settled agriculture [41].

9. GENOME CONSERVATION

Since 2000, the M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation (MSSRF) has focused on revitalizing rice cultivation in Wayanad district by promoting the on-farm conservation of traditional rice varieties. In 2008, the PPVFR Authority of the Government of India recognized this effort, honoring tribal communities such as the *Kurichiya* and *Kuruma* as 'Genome Saviors' for

their role in conserving twenty traditional rice varieties. The project, supported by the Department of Science and Technology, establishes 'seed villages' to preserve valuable rice gene pools and revive near-extinct varieties in Wayanad, Kerala [42].

Ravishankar [43] studied the Malayali tribal community's cooperation in conserving traditional land races. Each family contributes a portion of their harvest to a community granary managed by the village chieftain. This stored grain can be borrowed for important occasions or regular needs and repaid later. This system helps the tribe conserve seeds even during poor harvests or when domestic supplies are depleted, thus supporting the sustainability of their livelihoods.

Cheruvayal Raman of the *Kurichiya* tribe is dedicated to preserving traditional cultivation practices. Since 1989, he has collected indigenous seeds from his uncle, tribal elders, and the *Kurichiya* temple, amassing seeds that are around 500 years old. His collection now includes 65 varieties, such as *MannuVeliyan*, *Chembakam*, and aromatic types like *Gandhakashala* and *Jeerakashala*. He cultivates each variety on small plots, ensuring careful sowing and harvesting to maintain their purity and longevity.

10. ISSUES OF TRIBESPEOPLE

Globally, tribespeople are suffering due to ineffective conservation models. In southeast Cameroon, Baka "*Pygmies*" have been evicted from their ancestral lands, which have been turned into national parks and safari zones. They face harassment and violence from anti-poaching squads, resulting in deteriorating health conditions. Similarly, in *Botswana*, *Bushman* communities have been forcibly relocated despite a high court ruling affirming their rights to the Central Kalahari Game Reserve. Their removal has led to mining and tourism development in their former lands, with no evidence that their traditional hunting harmed wildlife, but significant harm to their health and community survival. In India, tribal people in tiger reserves are evicted and denied rights to manage their forests, with inadequate compensation and poor living conditions. The advent of industrialization and resource discovery has led to a loss of tribal land ownership and increased exploitation by outsiders. Government land acquisitions for projects such as dams and mining have

displaced many tribes, with meager compensation and poor resettlement conditions. The number of tribal farmers is declining, with many turning to agricultural labor or informal jobs due to decreased returns from farming. Additionally, legal issues persist as tribal rights are often not recognized before eviction from Protected Areas, with incorrect interpretations of the Forest Rights Act (FRA) leading to violations of their rights [44,45].

11. SOLUTIONS

Various solutions have been presented for dealing effectively with the tribal problems. The tribal problems have been approached from three viewpoints [46]. They are as follows:

11.1 Isolation

Isolating tribes in "national parks" or "reserved areas" is seen as a way to address two issues: (a) preserving their unique identity and (b) protecting them from outsider exploitation. Proponents believe that this approach allows tribes time to assimilate with broader society. However, the downside is that such isolation may lead to tribes developing vested interests in remaining separate, potentially hindering their integration with others.

11.2 Assimilation

Assimilation is one approach to addressing tribal issues, suggesting that instead of preserving tribal culture and ways of life, tribes should be integrated into the broader community. Advocates, including social reformers and voluntary organizations, argue that helping tribes assimilate into mainstream society is the key to resolving tribal problems. However, this approach has limitations. Complete assimilation is challenging, as tribes may resist abandoning their traditional beliefs and practices. Imposing external cultural norms can lead to guilt, confusion, and internal conflict, potentially causing economic, religious, and moral degradation.

11.3 Integration

The modern approach to tribal issues emphasizes integration over isolation or assimilation. Unlike isolation, which is impractical and undesirable, and assimilation, which imposes external norms, integration aims to provide tribes with the benefits of modern society

while preserving their distinct identities. This approach involves relocating tribes to the plains, away from their traditional lands like hills and forests. Critics argue that this strategy serves industrial and capitalist interests and could lead to economic and moral decline among tribes. Despite these concerns, integration—championed by leaders such as Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru in his 1957 foreword to Verrier Elwin's *The Philosophy for NEFA*—advocates for a balanced approach, encapsulated in five principles known as '*Panchasheela*': (i) Tribes should be allowed to develop according to their own traditions; (ii) Tribal land and forest rights must be respected; (iii) Local administration should be led by tribal people with limited initial support from outsiders; (iv) Over-administration and excessive schemes should be avoided; and (v) Success should be measured by the quality of human development, not just by statistics or expenditure.

12. CONCLUSION

The current conservation model, which undermines tribal livelihoods, is no longer sustainable. The most effective and economical way to preserve high-biodiversity areas is to uphold the rights of tribal people. According to PPV&FR, a farmer not only cultivates crops but also enhances their value and that of their wild relatives. This is particularly true for tribal communities, who manage a diverse array of species vital for their food, health, and economic security. Therefore, conservation strategies should recognize that tribespeople are often the best stewards of their environments. Redirecting substantial conservation funds to support tribal land rights is the most cost-effective solution.

Radical transformation is urgently needed as time runs out for both wildlife and tribal communities. Conservationists must safeguard tribal land rights, actively seek their input, listen to their needs, and provide appropriate support. Despite their harmonious relationship with their land for generations, tribal people are being displaced from protected areas under the guise of conservation. The establishment of these areas, often enforced by anti-poaching squads, results in widespread eviction and abuse of tribal communities, without effectively addressing the deepening environmental crisis. It is crucial to raise awareness among tribal people about forest laws and the consequences of legal infringements through cultural programs such as dances and dramas.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ellis EC, Goldewijk K, Siebert K, Lightman S, Ramankutty N. Anthropogenic transformation of the biomes. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 2010;19:589-606.
2. Dirzo R, Young HS, Galetti M, Ceballos G, Isaac NJB, Collen B. Defaunation in the anthropocene. *Sci.* 2014;345:401-406.
3. Steffen W, Broadgate W, Deutsch L, Gaffney O, Ludwig C. The trajectory of the Anthropocene: the great acceleration. *Anthropocene Rev.* 2015;2(1):81-98.
4. Sanderson EW, Jaiteh M, Levy MA, Redford KH, Wannebo AV, Woolmer G. The human footprint and the last of the wild. *Biosci.* 2002;52:89-904.
5. Bruner AG, Gullison RE, Rice RE, Fonseca GAB. Effectiveness of parks in protecting tropical biodiversity. *Sci.* 2001;291:125-128.
6. Gaston KJ, Jackson SF, Cantu-Salazar L, Cruz-Pinon G. The ecological performance of protected areas. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 2008;39:93-113.
7. Gliessman SR. *Agroecology: Ecological Processes in Sustainable Agriculture.* Lewis/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL; 2015.
8. Dalgaard T, Hutchings N, Porter JR. Agroecology, scaling and interdisciplinarity. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2003;100(1):39-51.
9. FAO [Food and Agriculture Organization]. *Scaling up agroecology initiative: Transforming food and agricultural systems in support of the SDGs.* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2018;17.
10. Bezner KR, Liebert J, Kansanga M, Kpienbaareh D. Human and social values in agroecology: A review. *Elem Sci Anth.* 2022;10(1):00090.
11. Zabala JA, Martínez-Paz JM, Alcon F. A comprehensive approach for agroecosystem services and disservices valuation. *Science of the Total Environment.* 2021;768:144859.
12. OECD [Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development]. *Environmental Impacts of Agriculture Volume 3: Methods and Results.* OECD Publication, France. 2001;391.
13. Loeuille N, Barot S, Georgelin E, Kylafis G, Lavigne C. Eco-evolutionary dynamics of agricultural networks: implications for sustainable management. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 2013;49:339-435.
14. Alhameid A, Colin A, Maiga A, Kumar S, Osborne S, Schumacher T. Intensified agroecosystems and changes in soil carbon dynamics. In: Al-Kaisi, M. and Lowery, B. (eds), *Soil Health and Intensification of Agroecosystems.* Elsevier. 2017;195-214.
15. CBD [Convention on Biological Diversity]. *United Nation Treaty Series.* Rio de Janeiro. 1992;143-382.
16. Clergue B, Amiaud B, Pervanchon F, Lasserre-Joulin F, Plantureux S. Biodiversity: function and assessment in agricultural areas. *Rev. Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 2005;25(1):1-15.
17. Shivanna KR. The sixth mass extinction crisis and its impact on biodiversity and human welfare. *Resonance.* 2020;25(1):93-109.
18. Garí JA. Biodiversity and indigenous agroecology in amazonia: The indigenous peoples of Pastaza. *Etnoecológica.* 2016;5(7):21-37.
19. Claudia S. The role of indigenous peoples in biodiversity conservation. *The World Bank report.* 2008;13.
20. White A, Molnar A, Khare A. *Who Owns, Who Conserves and Why It Matters.* Forest Trends, Washington D.C. 2004;51.
21. Brondízio ES, Aumeeruddy-Thomas Y, Bates P, Carino J, Fernández-Llamazares Á, Ferrari MF, Galvin K, Reyes-García V, McElwee P, Molnár Z Samakov A. Locally based, regionally manifested, and globally relevant: Indigenous and local knowledge, values, and practices for nature. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources.* 2021;46(1):481-509.
22. Jerez MM. Challenges and opportunities for Indigenous Peoples' sustainability; 2021.

23. GOI [Government of India]. Population Enumeration Data; 2011. Available:http://www.censusindia.gov.in/2011census/population_enumeration.html. [3 Oct. 2023].
24. Abas A, Aziz A, Awang A. A systematic review on the local wisdom of indigenous people in nature conservation. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(6):3415.
25. Yesodharan K, Sujana KA. Wild edible plants traditionally used by the tribes in the Parambikulam Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala, India. *Natural product radiance*. 2006;6(1):74-80.
26. Balakrishnan VK, Narayanan RMK, Anilkumar N. Ethnotaxonomy of Dioscorea among the Kattunaikka people of Wayanad district, Kerala, India. *Plant Genet. Resour. Newsl*. 2003;135:24-32.
27. Prasad DAG, Shyma TB. Medicinal plants used by the tribes of Vythiri taluk, Wayanad district (Kerala state) for the treatment of human and domestic animal ailments. *J. Med. Plants Res*. 2013;7(20):1439-1451.
28. Rai R, Nath V. The Role of ethnic and indigenous people of India and their culture in the conservation of biodiversity. *Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur, Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, Madhya Pradesh, India*. 2008;1-9.
29. Bapu TD, Nimasow G, Nimasow OD. Role of indigenous belief systems in conservation of animals among the Monpa and Shertukpen tribes of Arunachal Pradesh (India). *Shodh Sanchar Bulletin*. 2020;10(38):155-162.
30. Maru Y, Gebrekirstos A, Haile G. Indigenous ways of environmental protection in Gedeo community, Southern Ethiopia: A socio-ecological perspective. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 2020;6(1):1766732.
31. Khan ML, Tripathi RS. The sacred groves and their significance in conserving biodiversity: An overview. *Int. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci*. 2008;34(3):277-291.
32. Risley HH. *The Tribes and Castes of Bengal*. Nabu Press, Calcutta, 1981;2:714.
33. Patnaik NR. *History and culture of khond tribes*. common wealth publishers, New Delhi, India. 1992;456.
34. King-Oliver IED, Chitra V, Narasimha D. Sacred groves: traditional ecological heritage. *Int. J. Ecosyst. Environ. Sci*. 1997;23:463-470.
35. Nimachow G, Rawat J, Arunachalam A, Nimasow OYI. Ethno-medicines of Aka tribe, West Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh (India). *Science and culture*. 2011;77:149-155.
36. Sahai S. Indigenous knowledge and its protection in India. In: Bellmann, C. and Melendez-Ortiz, R. (eds), *Trading in Knowledge: Development Perspectives on TRIPS, Trade and Sustainability*. Routledge, London. 2013; 358.
37. Dewani U. *Lessons on ecology from the Apatani tribe in Ziro Valley*; 2016. Available: <https://www.indiawaterportal.org/articles/lessons-ecology-apatani-tribe-ziro-valley>[19 Oct. 2023].
38. Basu M. This Odisha tribe grows 20 crop varieties without jeopardizing biodiversity; 2019. Available:<https://www.downtoearth.org.in/agriculture/this-odisha-tribe-grows-20-crop-varieties-without-jeopardising-biodiversity-65738>[19 Oct. 2023].
39. Dogra B, Mayaram B. *Tribal farming is cash-free, sustainable*; 2016. Available: <https://www.civilsocietyonline.com/agriculture/cash-free-farming> [19 Oct. 2023].
40. Narayanaswami P. Traditional knowledge of tribals in crop protection. *Indian J. Traditional. Knowl*. 2006;5(1):64-70.
41. Mathur PRG. *Tribal Situations in Kerala*. Kerala Historical Society, Trivandrum. 1977;218.
42. Smitha KP, Anilkumar N. Wayanad treasure trove of medicinal and aromatic rice varieties. *Kerala Karshakan*. 2016; 4(3):11-15.
43. Ravishankar T. *Traditional knowledge and conservation of biodiversity for sustainable livelihoods by tribal communities in southern India*; 1995. Available: <http://www.fao.org/3/xii/0613-b1.html> [19 Oct. 2023].
44. NALSA [National Legal Services Authority]. *Protection and Enforcement of Tribal Rights*; 2015. Available:<https://nalsa.gov.in/acts-rules/preventive-strategic-legal-services-schemes/nalsa-protection-and-enforcement-of-tribal-rights-scheme-2015>. [26 Oct. 2023].
45. Mahapatra R. More than 50% of India's tribal population has moved out of traditional habitats; 2018.

Available:<https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/health/more-than-50-of-india-s-tribal-population-has-moved-out-of-traditional-habitats-62208> [10 Oct. 2023].

46. Beniwal A. Indian tribes: Problems and their solutions; 2014. Available:<http://www.theopinionjournal.com/upload/gallery/14452607851791332399.pdf>. [19 Oct. 2023].

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121761>